



**U.S. Department of Housing and Urban  
Development**

451 Seventh Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20410  
[www.hud.gov](http://www.hud.gov)

**Exhibit 8:**

## **Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58**

### **Project Information**

**Project Name:**

**Responsible Entity:**

**Grant Recipient** (if different than Responsible Entity):

**State/Local Identifier:**

**Preparer:**

**Certifying Officer Name and Title:**

**Grant Recipient** (if different than Responsible Entity):

**Consultant** (if applicable):

**Direct Comments to:**

**Project Location:**

**Description of the Proposed Project** [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:  
(include all activities and boundaries for project)

**Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

**Existing Conditions and Trends** [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

**Funding Information**

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount
	CDBG Program	

**Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:**

**Estimated Total Project Cost** (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]: \$\$\$

**Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities**

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

<b>Compliance Factors:</b> Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
--	---	---------------------------

STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 and 58.6		
<b>Airport Hazards</b>  24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Google maps closest airport to project site Military Airport Maps  Maps Attached
<b>Coastal Barrier Resources</b>  Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	Nevada is not located in a Coastal Barrier Zone per HUD region IV
<b>Flood Insurance</b>  Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<a href="https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home">https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home</a>  Maps Attached
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5		
<b>Clean Air</b>  Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Coastal Zone Management</b>  Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Contamination and Toxic Substances</b>  24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Endangered Species</b>  Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Explosive and Flammable Hazards</b>  24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Farmlands Protection</b>  Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	Yes   No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Floodplain Management</b>	Yes   No	

Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Historic Preservation</b>  National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Noise Abatement and Control</b>  Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Sole Source Aquifers</b>  Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Wetlands Protection</b>  Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Wild and Scenic Rivers</b>  Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</b>		
<b>Environmental Justice</b>  Executive Order 12898	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	

**Environmental Assessment Factors** [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27] Recorded below is the qualitative and quantitative significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Each factor has been evaluated and documented, as appropriate and in proportion to its relevance to the proposed action. Verifiable source documentation has been provided and described in support of each determination, as appropriate. Credible, traceable and supportive source documentation for each authority has been provided. Where applicable, the necessary reviews or consultations have been completed and applicable permits of approvals have been obtained or noted. Citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references are clear. Additional documentation is attached, as appropriate. **All conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures have been clearly identified.**

**Impact Codes:** Use an impact code from the following list to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
<b>LAND DEVELOPMENT</b>		
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design		
Soil Suitability/ Slope/ Erosion/ Drainage/ Storm Water Runoff		
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Noise		
Energy Consumption		

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
<b>SOCIOECONOMIC</b>		
Employment and Income Patterns		
Demographic Character Changes, Displacement		

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
<b>COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES</b>		
Educational and Cultural Facilities		
Commercial Facilities		
Health Care and Social Services		
Solid Waste Disposal / Recycling		
Waste Water / Sanitary Sewers		
Water Supply		

Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical		
Parks, Open Space and Recreation		
Transportation and Accessibility		

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation
<b>NATURAL FEATURES</b>		
Unique Natural Features, Water Resources		
Vegetation, Wildlife		
Other Factors		

**Additional Studies Performed:**

**Field Inspection** (Date and completed by):

**List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

**List of Permits Obtained:**

**Public Outreach** [24 CFR 50.23 & 58.43]:

**Cumulative Impact Analysis** [24 CFR 58.32]:

**Alternatives** [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

**No Action Alternative** [24 CFR 58.40(e)]:

**Summary of Findings and Conclusions:**

**Mitigation Measures and Conditions** [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure

**Determination:**

☐ **Finding of No Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.27]  
The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

☐ **Finding of Significant Impact** [24 CFR 58.40(g)(2); 40 CFR 1508.27]  
The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Preparer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name/Title/Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Certifying Officer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name/Title: \_\_\_\_\_

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).


**ATTACHMENT A**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Airport Clear Zone**

---


- Google Map closest airport to project
- Military Map

[FAS](#) | [Military Analysis](#) | [DOD 101](#) | [Facilities](#) ||| [Index](#) | [Search](#) | [Join FAS](#)

# FAS Military Analysis Network



## Military Bases in the Continental United States



[Full Size Map \(883kb PDF\)](#)

### Map Index

1. 29 Palms Air Ground Combat Center, USMC	148. Fort Wayne MAP AGS, USAF	295. Norfolk Naval Air Station, USN
2. Aberdeen Proving Ground, USA	149. Four Lakes AGS, USAF	296. Norfolk Naval Aviation Depot, USN
3. Alameda Naval Air Station, USN	150. Francis E. Warren AFB, USAF	297. Norfolk Naval Shipyard, USN
4. Alameda Naval Aviation Depot, USN	151. Fresno Air Terminal AGS, USAF	298. Norfolk Naval Station, USN
5. Altus AFB, USAF	152. Garland AGS, USAF	299. North Highlands AGS, USAF
6. Andrews AFB, USAF	153. General Billy Mitchell Field AGS, USAF	300. North Island Naval Air Station, USN
7. Anniston Army Depot, USA	154. Gentile AFS, USAF	301. North Island Naval Air Station, USN
8. Arlington Hall Station, USA	155. George AFB, USAF	302. North Island Naval Aviation Depot, USN
9. Arnold AFB, USN	156. Gila Bend AFS, USAF	303. North Smithfield AGS, USAF
10. Atlanta Naval Air Station, USN	157. Glenview Naval Air Station, USAF	304. Norton AFB, USAF
11. Atlantic City MAP AGS, USAF	158. Goodfellow AFB, USAF	305. O'Hare IAP AGS, USAF
12. Atterbury Reserve Training Area, USA	159. Grand Forks AFB, USAF	306. Oakland Army Base, USA
13. Avon Park AFS, USAF	160. Great Falls IAP AGS, USAF	307. Oakland Naval Supply Center, USN
14. Badin AGS, USAF	161. Great Lakes Naval Training Center, USN	308. Oceana Naval Air Station, USN
15. Bangor AGS, USAF	162. Greater Peoria Airport AGS, USAF	309. Offutt AFB, USAF
16. Bangor Naval Submarine Base, USN	163. Greater Pittsburgh IAP AGS, USAF	310. Ogden Defense Depot, USDOD
17. Barksdale AFB, USAF	164. Greater Wilmington Airport AGS, USAF	311. Onizuka AFB, USAF
18. Barnes Municipal Airport AGS, USAF	165. Griffiss AFB, USAF	312. Ontario IAP AGS, USAF
19. Beale AFB, USAF	166. Grissom AFB, USAF	313. Orange AGS, USAF
20. Beaufort Marine Corps Air Station, USMC	167. Gulfport Naval Construction BN Center, USN	314. Orlando Naval Training Center, USN
21. Bergstrom AFB, USAF	168. Gulfport/Biloxi MAP AGS, USAF	315. Otis AFB, USAF
22. Birmingham MAP AGS, USAF	169. Gunter AFB, USAF	316. Pacific Fleet ASW Training Center, USN
23. Bogue Marine Corps ALF, USMC	170. Hall AGS, USAF	317. Pacific Fleet Combat Training Center, USN
24. Boise Air Terminal AGS, USAF	171. Hammond AGS, USAF	318. Pacific Missile Test Center, USN
25. Bolling AFB, USAF	172. Hancock Field AGS, USAF	319. Pascagoula Naval Station, USN

26. Bradley IAP AGS, USAF	173. Hanscom AFB, USAF	320. Patrick AFB, USAF
27. Brooks AFB, USAF	174. Harrisburg IAP AGS, USAF	321. Patuxant River Naval Air Test Center, USN
28. Brunswick Naval Air Station, USN	175. Harrisburg Olmsted IAP AGS, USAF	322. Pensacola Naval Air Station, USN
29. Buckley AFB, USAF	176. Harry Diamond Laboratories, USA	323. Pensacola Naval Aviation Depot, USN
30. Burlington IAP AGS, USAF	177. Hector Field AGS, USAF	324. Peterson AFB, USAF
31. Cameron Station, USA	178. Henderson Hall Marine Corps HQ, USMC	325. Philadelphia Naval Hospital, USN
32. Camp Elmore, USMC	179. Hill AFB, USAF	326. Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, USN
33. Camp Lejeune Marine Corps Base, USMC	180. Holloman AFB, USAF	327. Philadelphia Naval Station, USN
34. Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Air Station, USMC	181. Homestead AFB, USAF	328. Phoenix Sky Harbor IAP AGS, USAF
35. Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base, USMC	182. Hulman Regional Airport AGS, USAF	329. Picatinny Arsenal, USA
36. Camp Pendleton Naval Hospital, USN	183. Hunter Army Airfield, USA	330. Pine Bluff Arsenal, USA
37. Camp Perry AGS, USAF	184. Hurlburt Field, USAF	331. Plattsburgh AFB, USAF
38. Camp Roberts Annex, USA	185. Indian Head Naval Ordnance Station, USN	332. Pope AFB, USAF
39. Cannon AFB, USAF	186. Indian Springs AFS, USAF	333. Port Hueneme Construction BN Center, USN
40. Cape Canaveral AFS, USAF	187. Ingleside Naval Station, USN	334. Portland IAP AGS, USAF
41. Cape Cod AFS, USAF	188. Ira Eaker (Blytheville) AFB, USAF	335. Portsmouth Naval Hospital, USN
42. Capital MAP AGS, USAF	189.	336. Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, USN
43. Carlisle Barracks, USA	190. Jacksonville IAP AGS, USAF	337. Presidio of Monterey, USA
44. Carswell AFB, USAF	191. Jacksonville Naval Air Station, USN	338. Presidio of San Francisco, USA
45. Castle AFB, USAF	192. Jacksonville Naval Aviation Depot, USN	339. Pueblo Army Depot Activity, USA
46. Cavalier AFS, USAF	193. Jefferson Barracks AGS, USAF	340. Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, USN
47. Cecil Field Naval Air Station, USN	194. Jefferson Proving Ground, USA	341. Puget Sound Naval Station, USN
48. Chanute AFB, USAF	195. Joe Foss Field AGS, USAF	342. Quonset State Airport AGS, USAF
49. Charleston AFB, USAF	196. K.I. Sawyer AFB, USAF	343. Randolph AFB, USAF
50. Charleston Naval Shipyard, USN	197. Keesler AFB, USAF	344. Red River Army Depot, USA
51. Charleston Naval Station, USN	198. Kelly AFB, USAF	345. Redstone Arsenal, USA
52. Charleston Naval Weapons Station, USN	199. Key Field AGS, USAF	346. Reese AFB, USAF
53. Charlotte/Douglas IAP AGS, USAF	200.	347. Reno Cannon IAP AGS, USAF
54. Chase Field Naval Air Station, USN	201. Kings Bay Naval Submarine Base, USN	348. Richards Gebaur ARS, USAF
55. Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station, USMC	202. Kingsley Field AGS, USAF	349. Richmond Defense General Supply Center. DOD
56. Cherry Point Naval Aviation Depot, USN	203. Kingsville Naval Air Station, USN	350. Richmond IAP Byrd Field AGS, USAF
57. Cheyenne MAP AGS, USAF	204. Kirtland AFB, USAF	351. Rickenbacker AFB, USAF
58. Cheyenne Mountain Complex, USAF	205. La Porte AGS, USAF	352. Robins AFB, USAF
59. China Lake Naval Weapons Center, USN	206. Lackland AFB, USAF	353. Rock Island Arsenal, USA
60. Cleveland Navy Finance Center, USN	207. Lambert St. Louis IAP AGS, USAF	354. Rocky Mountain Arsenal, USA
61. Columbus AFB, USAF	208. Langley AFB, USAF	355. Roslyn AGS, USAF
62. Concord Naval Weapons Station, USN	209. Laughlin AFB, USAF	356. Sacramento Army Depot, USA
63. Coronado Naval Amphibious Base, USN	210. Lemoore Naval Air Station, USN	357. Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant, USA
64. Corpus Christi Army Depot, USA	211. Letterkenny Army Depot, USA	358. Salt Lake City IAP AGS, USAF
65. Corpus Christi Naval Air Station, USN	212. Lexington Bluegrass Army Depot Act., USA	359. San Diego Marine Corps Recruit Depot, USMC
66. Corry Stat. Naval Tech. Training Center, USAF	213. Lincoln MAP AGS, USAF	360. San Diego Naval Hospital, USN
67. Coventry AGS, USAF	214. Little Creek Naval Amphibious Base, USN	361. San Diego Naval Station, USN
68. Crane Naval Weapons Support Center, USN	215. Little Rock AFB, USAF	362. San Diego Naval Submarine Base, USN
69. D. W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center, USN	216. Long Beach Naval Shipyard, USN	363. San Diego Naval Supply Center, USN
70. DMA Aerospace Center, USAF	217. Long Beach Naval Station, USN	364. San Diego Naval Training Center, USN
71. DMA Hydro/Topographic Center. DOD	218. Loring AFB, USAF	365. Saufley Naval OLF, USN
72. Dallas Naval Air Station, USN	219. Los Alamitos AFRC, USA	366. Savannah Army Depot Activity, USA
73. Dannelly Field AGS, USAF	220. Louisville Naval Ordnance Station, USN	367. Savannah IAP AGS, USAF
74. Davis Monthan AFB, USAF	221. Lowry AFB, USAF	368. Schenectady Airport AGS, USAF
75. Defense Construction Supply Center, USDOD	222. Luke AFB, USAF	369. Scott AFB, USAF
76. Defense Personnel Support Center. DOD	223. MacDill AFB, USAF	370. Seal Beach Naval Weapons Station, USN
77. Des Moines IAP AGS, USAF	224. Malmstrom AFB, USAF	371. Selfridge AFB, USAF
78. Detroit Arsenal Tank Plant, USA	225. Mansfield Lahm MAP AGS, USAF	372. Seneca Army Depot, USA
79. Detroit Arsenal, USA	226. March AFB, USAF	373. Seymour Johnson AFB, USAF
80. Dobbins ARB, USAF	227. Mare Island Naval Shipyard, USN	374. Sharpe Army Depot, USA
81. Dover AFB, USAF	228. Mare Island Naval Station, USN	375. Shaw AFB, USAF
82. Dugway Proving Ground, USA	229. Marine Barracks 8th and 1st, USMC	376. Shepherd Field AGS (EWRVA), USAF
83. Duke Field, USAF	230. Marine Corps Combat Dev. Command, USMC	377. Sheppard AFB, USAF
84. Duluth AGS, USAF	231. Marine Corps Logistics Base, USMC	378. Sierra Army Depot, USA
85. Dyess AFB, USAF	232. Marine Corps Recruit Depot, USMC	379. Sioux City MAP AGS, USAF
86. Earle Naval Weapons Station, USN	233. Martin State AGS, USAF	380. South Boston Support Activity, USA
87. Edwards AFB, USAF	234. Mather AFB, USAF	381. South Portland AGS, USAF
88. Eglin AFB, USAF	235. Maxwell AFB, USAF	382. South Weymouth Naval Air Station, USN
89. El Centro Naval Air Facility, USN	236. Mayport Naval Station, USN	383. Spokane IAP AGS, USAF
90. El Dorado AFS, USAF	237. McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, USA	384. Springfield Beckley MAP AGS, USAF
91. Ellington Field AGS, USAF	238. McChord AFB, USAF	385. St. Louis Area Support Center, USA
92. Ellsworth AFB, USAF	239. McClellan AFB, USAF	386. Standiford Field AGS, USAF
93. England AFB, USAF	240. McCollum AGS, USAF	387. Staten Island Naval Station, USN
94. Everett Naval Station, USN	241. McConnell AFB, USAF	388. Stewart Annex, USA
95. Fairchild AFB, USAF	242. McEntire AFB, USAF	389. Stewart IAP AGS, USAF
96. Falcon AFB, USAF	243. McGhee Tyson Airport AGS, USAF	390. Suffolk County Airport AGS, USAF
97. Fallon Naval Air Station, USN	244. McGuire AFB, USAF	391. Sunny Point Military Ocean Terminal, USA
98. Fitzsimmons Army Medical Center, USA	245. Memphis Defense Depot, USDOD	392. TULSA IAP AGS, USAF
99. Fleet ASW Training Center. Lant, USN	246. Memphis IAP AGS, USAF	393. Tinker AFB, USAF
100. Fleet Combat Training Center. Lant, USN	247. Memphis Naval Air Station, USN	394. Tobyhanna Army Depot, USA
101. Fleet and Mine Warfare Training Center, USN	248. Meridian Naval Air Station, USN	395. Toledo Express Airport AGS, USAF
102. Fort A. P. Hill, USA	249. Military Ocean Terminal - Bayonne, USA	396. Tonopah AFS, USAF
103. Fort Belvoir, USA	250. Millington Naval Hospital, USN	397. Tooele Army Depot, USA
104. Fort Benjamin Harrison, USA	251. Minneapolis/St. Paul IAP AGS, USAF	398. Tracy Defense Depot, USDOD
105. Fort Benning, USA	252. Minot AFB, USAF	399. Traux Field AGS, USAF
106. Fort Bliss, USA	253. Miramar Naval Air Station, USN	400. Travis AFB, USAF
107. Fort Bragg, USA	254. Mobile Naval Station, USN	401. Treasure Island Naval Station, USN
108. Fort Campbell, USA	255. Moffet Field Naval Air Station, USN	402. Tucson IAP AGS, USAF
109. Fort Carson, USA	256. Moody AFB, USAF	403. Tustin Marine Corps Air Station, USMC
110. Fort Chaffee, USA	257. Mountain Home AFB, USAF	404. Tyndall AFB, USAF
111. Fort Detrick, USA	258. Mountain Warfare Training Center, USMC	405. U.S. Army Materials & Mech. Res. Station, USA
112. Fort Devens, USA	259. Myrtle Beach AFB, USAF	406. U.S. Army Natick R&D Center, USA
113. Fort Douglas, USA	260. NAVCOMM Area Mater Station. Lant, USN	407. Umatilla Army Depot Activity, USA
114. Fort Drum, USA	261. NW Region Naval Medical Command, USN	408. United States Air Force Academy, USAF
115. Fort Eustis, USA	262. Nashville Metropolitan Airport AGS, USAF	409. United States Naval Academy, USN
116. Fort George G. Meade, USA	263. Naval Air Development Center, USN	410. Van Nuys Airport AGS, USAF
117. Fort Gillem, USA	264. Naval Air Propulsion Center, USN	411. Vance AFB, USAF

118. Fort Gordon, USA	265. Naval Coastal Systems Center, USN	412. Vandenberg AFB, USAF
119. Fort Hamilton, USA	266. Naval Communications Station, USN	413. Vint Hill Farms Station, USA
120. Fort Hood, USA	267. Naval Educ. and Train. Pro. Mgmt. Sup. Act., USN	414. W. K. Kellogg Regional Airport AGS, USAF
121. Fort Huachuca, USA	268. Naval Education & Training Center, USN	415. Walter Reed Army Medical Center, USA
122. Fort Hunter Liggett, USA	269. Naval Electronic Sys. Engineering Act., USN	416. Washington Headquarters Navy District, USN
123. Fort Indiantown Gap, USA	270. Naval Electronic System Engineering Center, USN	417. Washington Naval Command Unit, USN
124. Fort Irwin, USA	271. Naval Medical Command - NCR, USN	418. Washington Naval Security Station, USN
125. Fort Jackson, USA	272. Naval Observatory, USN	419. Watervliet Arsenal, USA
126. Fort Knox USA	273. Naval Ocean Systems Center, USN	420. Wellesley AGS, USAF
127. Fort Leavenworth, USA	274. Naval Oceanographic Office, USN	421. West Point Military Reservation. West Point. NY
128. Fort Lee, USA	275. Naval Postgraduate School, USN	422. Westover AFB, USAF
129. Fort Leonard Wood, USA	276. Naval Research Laboratory, USN	423. Whidbey Island Naval Air Station, USN
130. Fort Lesley J. McNair, USA	277. Naval Security Group Activity, USN	424. White Oak Naval Surface Weapons Cen., USN
131. Fort Lewis, USA	278. Naval Strategic Weapon FAC PAC, USN	425. White Sands Missile Range, USA
132. Fort McClellan, USA	279. Naval Surface Weapons Center, USN	426. Whiteman AFB, USAF
133. Fort McCoy, USA	280. Naval Undersea Warfare Engineering Station, USN	427. Whiting Field Naval Air Station, USN
134. Fort McPherson, USA	281. Naval Underwater Systems Center, USN	428. Will Rogers World Airport AGS, USAF
135. Fort Monroe, USA	282. Navy Aviation Supply Office, USN	429. Williams AFB, USAF
136. Fort Myer, USA	283. Navy Avionics Center, USN	430. Willow Grove Naval Air Station, USN
137. Fort Ord, USA	284. Navy Ships Parts Control Center, USN	431. Winter Harbor Naval Sec. Group Act., USN
138. Fort Pickett, USA	285. Navy Supply Corps School, USN	432. Worcester AGS, USAF
139. Fort Polk, USA	286. Nellis AFB, USAF	433. Wright-Patterson AFB, USAF
140. Fort Riley, USA	287. New Cumberland Army Depot, USA	434. Wurtsmith AFB, USAF
141. Fort Ritchie, USA	288. New London Naval Submarine Base, USN	435. Yakima Firing Center, USA
142. Fort Rucker, USA	289. New Orleans Naval Air Station, USN	436. Yeager Airport AGS, USAF
143. Fort Sam Houston, USA	290. New Orleans Naval Support Activity, USN	437. Yorktown Naval Weapons Station, USN
144. Fort Sheridan, USA	291. New River Marine Corps Air Station, USMC	438. Youngstown MAP AGS, USAF
145. Fort Sill, USA	292. New York Naval Station, USN	439. Yuma Marine Corps Air Station, USMC
146. Fort Smith MAP AGS, USAF	293. Newark AFB, USAF	440. Yuma Proving Ground, USA
147. Fort Stewart, USA	294. Niagara Falls IAP ARS, USAF	

[FAS](#) | [Military Analysis](#) | [DOD 101](#) | [Facilities](#) ||| [Index](#) | [Search](#) | [Join FAS](#)

<http://www.fas.org/man/dod-101/fac/conus.htm>


Maintained by [Robert Sherman](#)

Originally created by John Pike

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Coastal Barrier Resources**

---

- Coastal Barrier Map



**ATTACHMENT C**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Flood Insurance**

---

- Flood insurance map

**ATTACHMENT D**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Clean Air**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Attention:

**(Local Planner, Public Works or City/County Administrator)**

Re: Environmental Review: Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description

Site Map

Environmental Review Form

Bureau Chief  
Bureau of Air Quality  
901 S. Stewart St. Suite 4001  
Carson City, NV 89701-5249

Attention:  
**(See Agency Personnel List)**

Re: Environmental Review: Air Quality

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description  
Site Map  
Environmental Review Form

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: HUD STANDARD FOR AIRPORT CLEAR ZONES  
AND ACCIDENT POTENTIAL ZONES

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for the Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones. The pertinent HUD standards for these environmental considerations include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. 24CFR Part 51, Subpart D, Siting of HUD Assisted Projects in Runways Clear Zones at Civil Airports and Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones at Military Airfields. (49 FR 877, January 6, 1980.)

Please check either line A or B below and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project is not within an FAA designated civilian airport Runway Clear Zone (RCZ), or within a military Airfield Clear Zone (CZ) or APZ. (This finding is based on information from the airport or military airfield administrator which identifies the boundaries of such zones) OR the project involves only minor rehabilitation.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. For the sale or purchase of existing property in a RCZ or CZ, notify buyer of this fact and obtain buyer's signature acknowledging receipt of this information. It is HUD policy not to provide any development assistance, subsidy or insurance in RCZs or CZs unless the project will not be frequently used or occupied by people and the airport operator provided written assurances that there are no plans to purchase the project site.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**


\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT E**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Coastal Zone Management**

---

- Coastal Barrier Map



**ATTACHMENT F**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Contamination and Toxic Substances**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Attention:

**(Local Fire Chief/Public Safety Rep.)**

Re: Environmental Review: Toxic Chemicals and Radioactive Materials

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description

Site Map

Environmental Review Form

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: HUD STANDARDS FOR  
TOXIC CHEMICALS AND RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for Toxic Chemicals and Radioactive Materials. The pertinent HUD standards for these environmental considerations include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. HUD notice 79-33, September 10, 1979, Policy Guidance to address problems posed by Toxic Chemicals and Radioactive Materials.

Please check either line A or B below and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project does not involve new development for habitation; OR the project involves new development for habitation, but is not located within one mile of an NPL ("Superfund") site, within 2,000 feet of a CERCLIS site, nor is adjacent to any other known or suspected sites contaminated with toxic chemicals or radioactive materials, unless a federal, state or local authoritative source determines it does not pose a health hazard.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The project exceeds the above standards ☐ A ☐ Grantees are advised not to use funds for activities supporting new development for habitation when a project site is affected by toxic chemicals or radioactive materials. Documentation includes contact with appropriate federal, state, or local oversight agencies. The grant recipient must establish Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) or other mitigation measures.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature/Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT G**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Endangered Species**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Agency Name  
Address  
City, NV, Zip Code

US Fish and Wildlife  
use USFWS's Information for Planning and  
Consultation (IPaC) System and obtain an official  
species list: <https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/>  
Email Requests to [RFWOmail@fws.gov](mailto:RFWOmail@fws.gov)

Attention:

**(See Agency Personnel List)**

Re: Environmental Review: Endangered Species Act

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description  
Site Map  
Environmental Review Form

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for the Endangered Species Act. The pertinent HUD standards for Endangered Species include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1958 (P.L. 85-624) as amended by the Act of 1965 (P.L. 89-72, 16 U.S.C. 661-666 (c)).
2. The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205, 16 U.S.C.-1531); as amended by the Endangered Species Amendment Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-632, 16 U.S.C.-1536).
3. 50 CFR Part 402, Interagency Cooperation Regulations.

Please check either A or B below which applies and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project will not affect any federally-listed or proposed Threatened and Endangered Species (including plants, animals, fish, or invertebrates), nor any designated critical habitat.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The project exceeds the environmental threshold for Endangered Species. Grant recipient must initiate and complete consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (or other appropriate agencies,) in accordance with procedural regulations contained in 50 CFR Part 402.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects and in reference to the “interagency consultation” regulations at 50 CFR Part 402 Units of General Local governments (UGLG) are the “responsible entities” when acting in accordance with HUD’s regulation (24 CFR 58.5) and statues; which state the responsible entity is the Federal Official making the request for consultation at the project level.

1. The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C.-1531 et seq.); as amended; particularly Section 7 (16 U.S.C.-1536).

Please check the line below which applies and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project will not affect any federally-listed or proposed Threatened and Endangered Species (including plants, animals, fish, or invertebrates), nor any designated critical habitat.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The project exceeds the environmental threshold for Endangered Species. Grant recipient must initiate and complete consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (or other appropriate agencies,) in accordance with procedural regulations contained in 50 CFR Part 402.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT H**

**Environmental Review Packet- Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Attention:

**(Local Fire Chief/Public Safety Rep.)**

Re: Environmental Review: Explosive or Flammable Operations

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description

Site Map

Environmental Review Form

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: HUD STANDARDS FOR EXPLOSIVE FLAMMABLE OPERATIONS

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for Explosive and Flammable. The pertinent HUD standards for Explosive or Flammable Operations environmental considerations include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. 24CFR Part 51, Subpart C, Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Operations Handling Petroleum Products or Chemicals of an Explosive or Flammable Nature.

Please check either line A or B below and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project is located at an Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) from any above-ground or flammable fuels or chemicals containers according to ☐ Siting of HUD-Assisted project near Hazardous Facilities OR the project will expose neither people nor building to such hazards.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The project exceeds the standards in ☐ A ☐ above. The project requires mitigation of the hazard (per 24 CFR 51.205) with construction of a barrier of adequate size and strength to protect the project from the explosive or flammable hazard.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT I**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Farmland Protection**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Attention:

**(Local Planner, Public Works or City/County Administrator)**

Re: Environmental Review: Farmland Protection

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description

Site Map

Environmental Review Form

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: FARMLAND PROTECTION ACT

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for Farmland Protection. The pertinent HUD standards for these environmental considerations include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. The Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (P.L. 97-98, 7 U.S.C. 4201 and 4202).

Please check either line A or B below and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project site does not include prime or unique farmland, OR other farmland of statewide or local importance as identifies by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service (SCS); OR project site includes prime farmland but is located in an area committed to urban uses.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The project exceeds the environmental threshold for farmland protection. Request evaluation of land type from SCS using Form AD 1006, and consider the resultant rating in the project decision, and potential mitigation measures (including measures to protect adverse effect on adjacent farmlands). The grant recipient must consult with the local Soil Conservation Service (SCS) field office to identify minimal protection.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT J**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Floodplain Management**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Local Planning Dept., Public Works, Dept. or  
Local Official responsible for Floodplain Maps/Issues  
OR  
Planning and Flood Plain Management  
Division of Water Resources  
901 S Stewart St  
Carson City, NV 89701

Attention:

**(See Agency Personnel List)**

Re: Environmental Review: Floodplain Management

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description

Site Map

Environmental Review Form

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for Floodplain management. The pertinent HUD standards for Floodplain Management include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, May 24, 1977 (24 FR 26951 et seq.); particularly section 2(a).

Please check the line below which applies and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project does not involve property acquisition, management, construction or improvement within a 100-year floodplain (Zones A or V) identifies by FEMA maps, or

\_\_\_\_\_ A.1 Does not involve a "critical action" (e.g., a fire station, emergency facility, facility for mobility impaired persons, elderly housing project, ect.) within a 500-year floodplain (Zone B). If these maps have not been published, the same finding is necessary and is to be based on data from the City/County engineer or State/local Flood Control Agency;

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The environmental threshold for the Floodplain Management Executive Order is exceeded. Grant recipient must initiate and complete the U.S. water Resources Council 8-step Decision making Process for Floodplain Management.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:

**(Local Environmental Representative)**

**(Street)**

**(City), (State), (Zip)**

**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT K**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Historic Preservation**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

**ATTACHMENT L**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Tribal Consultation**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

**ATTACHMENT M**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Noise Abatement and Control**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

Attention:

**(Local Planner, Public Works or City/County Administrator)**

Re: Environmental Review: Noise Abatement and Control

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description

Site Map

Environmental Review Form

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: HUD STANDARDS FOR NOISE ABATEMENT AND CONTROL

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting your review of the project with respect to the threshold for the Noise Abatement and Control Standards. The pertinent HUD standards for these environmental considerations include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. 24CFR Part 51, Environmental Criteria and Standards, Subpart A, General Provision; and Subpart B, Noise Abatement and Control (44 FR 40860-40866, July 12, 1979).

Please check the line below which applies and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project does not involve development of noise sensitive uses, or the ambient noise level at the project site is 65LDN (or CNEL) or less. This finding may be based on calculations using the HUD Noise Assessment Guidelines (1980), or other acoustical data.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The project exceeds the thresholds stated in A above. Apply the noise standard to the project approval decision and consider the application of the noise attenuation measures (Noise Assessment Guidelines, Pages 39-40).

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature/Title**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT N**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Sole Source Aquifers**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

XXXX, Supervisor, Professional Engineer  
Nevada Division of Environmental Protection  
Bureau of Safe Drinking Water  
901 S Stewart St 4001  
Carson City, NV 89701-5249

Attention:

**(See Agency Personnel List)**

Re: Environmental Review: Safe Drinking Water Act/Sole Source Aquifers

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description  
Site Map  
Environmental Review Form

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT/  
SOLE SOURCE AQUIFERS**

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting you review of the project with respect to the threshold for Sole Source Aquifers and Safe Drinking Water Standards. The pertinent HUD standards for Sole Source Aquifers and Safe Drinking Water include the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-253) as amended by the safe Drinking Water Amendments of 1977 (P.L. 95-190, 42 U.S.C. 201, 300 f et seq., 7401 et seq.) and by further amendments in 1979 (P.L. 97-163) and further amendments in 1986 (P.L. 99-339 6/19/86).
2. Section 1424 of the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-253) as amended, which provides for the protection of aquifers which are a sole or principal source of drinking water, and which if contaminated would create a significant health hazard.

Please check the line below which applies and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

- \_\_\_\_\_ A. The project is not located within an area designated by EPA as being supported by a sole source aquifer, OR,
- \_\_\_\_\_ A.1 The project need not be referred to EPA for evaluation according to the HUD-EPA Region IX Sole Source Aquifer Memorandum of Understanding of 1990, or  
EPA has determined that it is not contaminated and does have the potential of being contaminated and the applicable authority has determined that it meets the State drinking water standards.
- \_\_\_\_\_ B. The environmental threshold for the Sole Source Aquifer criteria is exceeded. Consult with the applicable authority for resolution assistance, or
- \_\_\_\_\_ B.1 The environmental threshold for the Safe Drinking Water Act is exceeded, the grant recipient must consult the applicable authority for resolution assistance.

## SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT/SOLE SOURCE AQUIFERS

Please complete the following review by checking the appropriate line(s). Please feel free to attach any additional comments:

1. Will the proposed project be served by a public water system as defined in Nevada Administrative Code 445?  
\_\_\_ YES - If "yes", go on to question #2  
\_\_\_ NO - If "no", go on to question #3  
\_\_\_ NOT APPLICABLE - If "not applicable" go on to question #3
2. Is the public water system in violation of any section of Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 445A.450 to 445A.6731?  
\_\_\_ YES - If "yes", explain and go on to question #3  
  
Explanation:  
\_\_\_ NO - If "no", go on to question #3
3. Could the proposed project contaminate an existing public water system?  
\_\_\_ YES - If "yes", go on to question #4  
\_\_\_ NO - If "no", go on to question #5
4. Contamination could be:  
\_\_\_ to sources (springs, wells, reservoirs, etc.)  
\_\_\_ by creating an excessive demand on the system  
\_\_\_ by causing a cross-connection  
\_\_\_ other, explain
5. Is the answer "yes" to question #2 or question #3?  
\_\_\_ NO - REVIEW COMPLETED  
\_\_\_ YES - consult the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Safe Drinking Water for resolution assistance.

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Signature, Title**  
**Nevada Division of Environmental Protection**  
**Bureau of Safe Drinking Water**

**Date**

Please address reply to

**(Local Environmental Representative)**

**(Street)**

\_\_\_\_\_,

**(City) (State) (Zip)**

**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT O**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Wetlands Protection**

---

- Agency response
- Agency letter
- Project description
- Project map
- Additional correspondence

XXXX, Environmental Scientist  
Bureau of Water Quality  
901 S Stewart St 4001  
Carson City, NV 89701-5249

Attention:

**(See Agency Personnel List)**

Re: Environmental Review: Wetlands Protection

The \_\_\_\_\_ is applying for funds through the Nevada Community  
**(Name of grant recipient/local government)**

Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), to undertake the following project: \_\_\_\_\_  
**(Name of Project)**

Enclosed is a description of the project, a site map and a form for your review of the project.

Thank you for your assistance on the Environmental Review. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any information on the proposed project.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Local Environmental Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Date**

Enclosed: Project Description  
Site Map  
Environmental Review Form

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: WETLANDS PROTECTION

Grantee: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Department of Housing and Urban Development requirements for CDBG projects, the grant recipient assumes the responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and actions required by local, state and federal environmental laws or authorities. In order to complete the environmental review requirements, we are requesting you review of the project with respect to the threshold for Protection of Wetlands. The pertinent HUD standards for Wetland Protection includes the following criteria, standards, policies and/or regulations:

1. Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, May 24, 1977 (42 FR 26961 et seq.).
2. Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands, May 24, 1977 (42-FR 26961et seq.); particularly Sections 2 and 5.

Please check either A or B below and add any applicable comments in the space provided. Please feel free to attach any additional comments.

\_\_\_\_\_ A. The project does not involve new construction within or adjacent to a wetland. The project does not affect a wetland identified by any pertinent planning document or by field observation. The delineation of wetlands is based on consultation with U.S. Corps of Engineers, U.S. Fish & Game or State Fish & Game.

\_\_\_\_\_ B. The environmental threshold for Wetlands Protection is exceeded. Grant recipient must initiate and complete the U.S. Water Resources Council's 8-Step process for Floodplain Management. (Projects may be approved if there is no practicable alternative outside the wetland area; however, the activity must comply with permitting requirements under provision of the Clean Water Act).

Comments:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature/Title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Please address reply to:  
**(Local Environmental Representative)**  
**(Street)**  
**(City), (State), (Zip)**  
  
**(Area Code) (Telephone)**

**ATTACHMENT P**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Wild and Scenic Rivers**

---

- National Wild and Scenic Rivers System screenshot



# National Wild and Scenic Rivers System

Image Details  
Tim Palmer



## Find a River

### SEARCH BY STATE

Search By State 

### SEARCH BY RIVER NAME

Enter River Name Here 

[VIEW THE SYSTEM WIDE MAP >](#)

**ATTACHMENT Q**  
**Environmental Review Packet- Environmental Justice**

---

- National Wild and Scenic Rivers System screenshot

## Environmental Justice (CEST and EA)

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	
References		
<a href="https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-justice">https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/environmental-justice</a>		

**HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.**

- 1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?**

☐ Yes → *Continue to Question 2.*

☐ No → *Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Worksheet Summary below.*

- 2. Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities?**

☐ Yes

**Explain:**

→ *Continue to Question 3. Provide any supporting documentation.*

☐ No

**Explain:**

→ *Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.*

3. All adverse impacts should be mitigated. Explain in detail the proposed measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation.

☐ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

→ Continue to Question 4.

☐ No mitigation is necessary.

**Explain why mitigation will not be made here:**

→ Continue to Question 4.

4. Describe how the affected low-income or minority community was engaged or meaningfully involved in the decision on what mitigation actions, if any, will be taken.

→ Continue to the Worksheet Summary and provide any supporting documentation.

## **Worksheet Summary**

### **Compliance Determination**

Provide a clear description of your determination and a synopsis of the information that it was based on, such as:

- Map panel numbers and dates
- Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates
- Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers
- Any additional requirements specific to your region

**Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?**

☐ Yes

☐ No